UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,445	11/21/2003	Edward W. Miller	02-414B	3024
45804 7590 06/30/2008 LAW OFFICE OF CARL. D. CROWELL P. O. BOX 923			EXAMINER	
			GERRITY, STEPHEN FRANCIS	
SALEM, OR 97308-0923			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,445	MILLER, EDWARD W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen F. Gerrity	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Ja</u>	nuarv 2008.					
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,5,11,12 and 14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,6-10 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
a) All b) Some c) None or. 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
200 the attached detailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attacker and a						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

Application/Control Number: 10/719,445 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 3, 5, 11, 12 and 14 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 13 March 2007.

Specification

2. The amendments to the specification filed 24 January 2008 have not been entered because they do not comply with 37 CFR 1.121(b) which requires the full text of any replacement paragraph with markings show all the changes relative to the previous version of the paragraph. Applicant is respectfully requested to resubmit the amendments to the specification in proper form and in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b).

The pertinent section of 37 CFR 1.121(b) is reproduced below:

Specification. Amendments to the specification, other than the claims, computer listings (§ 1.96) and sequence listings (§ 1.825), must be made by adding, deleting or replacing a paragraph, by replacing a section, or by a substitute specification, in the manner specified in this section.

- (1) Amendment to delete, replace, or add a paragraph. Amendments to the specification, including amendment to a section heading or the title of the invention which are considered for amendment purposes to be an amendment of a paragraph, must be made by submitting:
 - (i) An instruction, which unambiguously identifies the location, to delete one or more paragraphs of the specification, replace a paragraph with one or more replacement paragraphs, or add one or more paragraphs;
 - (ii) The full text of any replacement paragraph with markings to show all the changes relative to the previous version of the paragraph. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must

Application/Control Number: 10/719,445 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

be shown by being placed within double brackets if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived;

(iii) The full text of any added paragraphs without any underlining; and

(iv) The text of a paragraph to be deleted must not be presented with strike-through or placed within double brackets. The instruction to delete may identify a paragraph by its paragraph number or include a few words from the beginning, and end, of the paragraph, if needed for paragraph identification purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsumoto (JP 57-174533).

The Matsumoto reference discloses an impact head assembly including an impact head (6), an impact head central bore (where 9 is located), an annular slot (in which 13 is received), a central insert (9) and a reciprocating rod (13 - the term "reciprocating" confirms no structurally connotation because there is no structure claimed to reciprocate the rod).

Regarding claim 4, the Matsumoto reference teaches that the impact head (6) is round when viewed on end.

Regarding claim 13, the Matsumoto reference teaches that the reciprocating rod (13) is straight.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,445 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Matsumoto (JP 57-174533).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, the type of material from which the impact head is

formed, be it silicone rubber or plastic, is clearly an obvious choice of engineering

design depending on the desired object to be impacted by the impact head, and it would

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's

invention was made, to have modified the Matsumoto impact head assembly to have

had the impact head be formed from silicone rubber or plastic depending on the desired

object to be impacted by the impact head.

Regarding claim 8, the manner of connecting the central insert to the impact

head is clearly an obvious choice of engineering design -- one could connect the two in

any number of different manners, and it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified the

Matsumoto impact head assembly to have had the central insert be threaded or ribbed

for the purpose of connecting it to the impact head.

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the type of material from which the central insert is

formed, be it rigid plastic or semi-rigid plastic, is clearly an obvious choice of

Art Unit: 3721

engineering design, and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time applicant's invention was made, to have modified the Matsumoto impact head assembly to have had the central insert be formed from rigid plastic or semi-rigid plastic depending on the desired material available.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 6-10 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references listed on the attached form (PTO-892) are cited to show impact heads. All are cited as being of interest and to show the state of the prior art.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. this Office action. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 6 Application/Control Number: 10/719,445

Art Unit: 3721

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Stephen F. Gerrity whose telephone number is 571-272-

4460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Stephen F. Gerrity/ **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3721

26 June 2008